	Case 1:22-cv-01632-JLT-HBK Documer	nt 55 Filed 12/08/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EARL DAVIS SR,	Case No. 1:22-cv-1632 JLT HBK (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
13	v.	
14	E. CLOAK, et al.,	(Docs. 36, 39, 48, and 53)
15	Defendants.	(Does. 30, 37, 40, and 33)
16		
17	Earl Davis Sr. is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action	
18	filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed three motions seeking injunctive relief: a	
19	motion for a restraining order on August 7, 2023 (Doc. 36); a motion for preliminary injunction	
20	on August 28, 2023 (Doc. 39); and second motion for preliminary injunction September 28, 2023	
21	(Doc. 48).	
22	On October 31, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations,	
23	finding Plaintiff failed to show he was entitled to the requested relief and recommending the	
24	motions be denied. (Doc. 53 at 8-11.) The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on	
25	Plaintiff and notified him that any objections were to be filed within fourteen days. (<i>Id.</i> at 11.)	
26	The Court also informed him that the failure to file timely objections may result in a waiver of his	
27	rights on appeal. (Id., citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).)	
28	Plaintiff did not file any objections and the tim	ne to do so has expired.

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court performed a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**: 1. The Findings and Recommendations filed on October 31, 2023 (Doc. 53) are **ADOPTED** in full. 2. Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 36) is **DENIED**. 3. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. 39) is **DENIED**. 4. Plaintiff's motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. 48) is **DENIED**. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **December 8, 2023**

Case 1:22-cv-01632-JLT-HBK Document 55 Filed 12/08/23 Page 2 of 2